

For more information, questions, or requests please contact: Tia Palermo, Ph.D., MS, Policy Research Solutions (PRESTO), tiampalermo@policyresearchsolutions.com

Risk factors for violent child discipline in São Tomé and Príncipe

Co-authors

Maliana Marcelino Serrano, São Tomé and Príncipe, mmserrano@unicef.org
Natasha Allard, MBA, MS, Policy Research Solutions (PRESTO), ncallard@buffalo.edu
Arminda Nobre Ceita, UNICEF São Tomé and Príncipe, aceita@unicef.org
Belquiri Viegas DAbreu Mascarenhas, UNICEF São Tomé and Príncipe, bviegas@unicef.org
Mirabel Costa Ribeiro, UNICEF São Tomé and Príncipe, micosta@unicef.org
Naomi Neijhoft, MA, Policy Research Solutions (PRESTO), n_neijhoft@hotmail.com
Kate Rogers, Ph.D., MPH, MS, Policy Research Solutions (PRESTO), krogers3@buffalo.edu
Tia Palermo, Ph.D., MS, Policy Research Solutions (PRESTO), tiampalermo@policyresearchsolutions.com

RISK FACTORS FOR VIOLENT CHILD DISCIPLINE IN SÃO TOMÉ AND PRÍNCIPE

PRESENTED BY: TIA PALERMO, PH.D., MS, POLICY RESEARCH SOLUTIONS (PRESTO) & MALIANA MARCELINO SERRANO, PH.D. MSc, UNICEF SÃO TOMÉ AND PRÍNCIPE

CO-AUTHORS

PRESTO: NATASHA C. ALLARD, NAOMI NEIJHOFT, KATE ROGERS
UNICEF: BELQUIRI VIEGAS DABREU MASCARENHAS, MIRABEL COSTA RIBEIRO

BACKGROUND & AIMS

- Violence against children is pervasive globally and has detrimental impacts on individuals, households and societies [1-4].
- A better understanding of prevalence and risk and protective factors, including social norms, related to violent discipline in São Tomé and Príncipe (STP) are needed to inform programming for violence prevention and response.
- This study aims to understand prevalence and risk and protective factors for violence against children and adolescents in STP.

METHODS

Analyzed nationally representative data from households with children from the 2019 Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS)

Examined five violence-related outcomes among children 1-14 years old

Conducted multivariable logistic regression to identify risk & protective factors at the child, caregiver, and community level

RESULTS

82.5% of children aged 1-14 experienced any form of violent discipline

12.4% of children aged 1-14 experienced severe physical discipline

Risk Factors for Any Violent Child Discipline

Household level

- Poverty
- Increasing # of children in household
- Living in Distrito de Me-Zochi (reference: Distrito De Agua Grande, the capital region)

Caregiver level

- Believes intimate partner violence can be justified
- Believes children need to be physically punished
- Young caregiver age, 16-24 years (reference: age 35-49)
- Had an alcoholic drink in last month

Child level

- Inadequate child supervision (among children under 5 years)

“My son isn't naughty but sometimes he says bad words and gets whipped. Whips don't kill children like that.”

Caregiver of a child in STP (ongoing study)



RECOMMENDATIONS

In STP, UNICEF and government partners working in the areas of child protection, education, and adolescent development have recently made strides in advancing policy and programmatic frameworks around violence, including multisectoral referral and response protocols. However, there are gaps in understanding social norms and risk factors for violent discipline. Findings from our study underscore the need for further research into social norms around violence to further assist priority setting and investment decisions by Government and UNICEF.

Supplemental information



PRESTO
POLICY RESEARCH SOLUTIONS

unicef | for every child

Abstract

Background, aims and objectives. Violence against children is pervasive globally (1, 2) and has detrimental impacts on individuals, households and societies (3, 4). Research has underscored violent discipline specifically as a risk factor for societal violence; and the approval and prevalence of violent discipline has been linked to the use or endorsement of other forms of violence. A better understanding of prevalence and risk and protective factors, including social norms, related to violent discipline in São Tomé and Príncipe (STP) are needed to inform programming for violence prevention and response. This study aims to understand prevalence and risk and protective factors for violence against children and adolescents in STP.

Methods. Secondary data used in this analysis come from nationally representative data on households with children from the 2019 Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (MICS). Data were analysed using multivariable logistic regression, where separate regressions were run for the following outcomes: any violent discipline, physical discipline, psychological discipline, and severe violent discipline. Risk and protective factors at the child (age, sex, residence with biological parents, inadequate supervision), caregiver (age, education, alcohol use, poverty, household size, attitudes towards violence), and community levels (region, urban/rural) were included as independent variables.

Results. More than 8 in 10 children aged 1 to 14 years experienced any violent discipline in the previous month, and 14.2 per cent of children experienced severe physical punishment. Risk factors for violent discipline in STP included poverty, young caregiver age, caregiver's use of alcohol, inadequate supervision, and social norms surrounding acceptance of violence (both belief in the need for physical punishment of children and acceptance of intimate partner violence).

Recommendations. In STP, UNICEF and government partners working in the areas of child protection, education and adolescent development have recently made strides in advancing policy and programmatic frameworks around violence including referral and response protocols. However, there are gaps in understanding social norms and risk factors for violent discipline. Findings from our study Findings will underscore the importance of addressing this issue and help priority setting and investment decisions by UNICEF and Government.

Violence Definitions (MICS 2019)

Outcome	Definition
Any violent discipline	Any psychological or physical
Psychological aggression	1. Shouted, yelled at or screamed at; or 2. Called dumb or lazy
Physical punishment	1. Shook them; or 2. Spanked, hit or slapped on the bottom with bare hand; or 3. Hit on the bottom or elsewhere on the body with something like a belt, hairbrush, stick or other hard object; or 4. Hit or slapped on the hand, arm, or leg; or 5. Hit or slapped on the face, head or ears; or 6. Beat up, that is, hit over and over as hard as one could
Severe physical punishment	1. Hit or slapped on the face, head or ears; or 2. Beat up, that is, hit over and over as hard as one could
Only non-violent discipline	1. Took away privileges, forbade something they liked or did not allow them to leave the house; or 2. Explained why behavior was wrong; or 3. Gave something else to do

*Percentage of children who experienced each type by caregivers in the past one month.

References

1. Hillis S, Mercy J, Amobi A, Kress H. Global prevalence of past-year violence against children: a systematic review and minimum estimates. *Pediatrics*. 2016;137(3).
2. Organization WH. Global status report on preventing violence against children 2020. 2020.
3. Hillis SD, Mercy JA, Saul JR. The enduring impact of violence against children. *Psychology, Health & Medicine*. 2017;22(4):393-405.
4. Hoeffler A. Violence against children: a critical issue for development. *The European Journal of Development Research*. 2017;29:945-63.